Stability and L₂-gain analysis of Impulsive Switched Systems with Average Dwell Time

Application to Hybrid Control

Jaepil Ban¹, Wookyong Kwon², Sangwoo Kim¹ banjp117@postech.ac.kr

¹Electrical Engineering ²Graduate Institute of Ferrous Technology

Pohang University of Science and Technology

Korea

American Control Conference, Seattle May 25, 2017





Table of Contents

Backgrounds and Motivation

- **Stability and** L_2 -gain analysis of impulsive switched system
- Design algorithm of hybrid controller
- Simulation result

Conclusion





Introduction







Feedback control for switched system

Common Lyapunov function

 $V(x) = x^T P x$ Conservative!

Multiple Lyapunov function(MLF) with average dwell time(ADT) switching logic

$V_1(x) = x^T P_1 x$ $V_2(x) = x^T P_2 x$	Controller	Synthesis condition
:	$u = K_i x$	LMI
$V_N(x) = x^T P_N x$ $V_i \le \mu V_j (i \ne j)$	$\dot{x}_{c} = A_{c,i}x + B_{c,i}y$ $u = C_{c,i}x + D_{c,i}y$	BMI (B. Lu, 2004)

B. Lu, 2006	C. Yuan, 2015	Proposed
$x_c^+ = \Delta_{ij} x$	$x_c^+ = \Delta_{ij} x_c$	$x_c^+ = \Delta_{1,ij} x_c + \Delta_{2,ij} y$





The system suffers additional discrete disturbance

- Switched Plant $\dot{x} = A_{\sigma}x + B_{\sigma}u + E_{\sigma}w$ $z = C_{z,\sigma}x + D_{z,\sigma}u + F_{z,\sigma}w$ $y = C_{y,\sigma}x + D_{y,\sigma}u + F_{y,\sigma}w$
- Dynamic controller $\dot{x}_c = A_{c,\sigma} x_c + B_{c,\sigma} y$ $u = C_{c,\sigma} x_c + D_{c,\sigma} y$
- **Reset Law** $x_c^+ = \Delta_{1,ij} x_c + \Delta_{2,ij} y$ at a switching instant

 $\sigma(t): \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathcal{M}, \ \mathcal{M} \coloneqq \{1, \dots, M\}$ *M*: # of subsystems

• Closed-loop dynamics (can be seen as an impulsive switched system)

$$\dot{\bar{x}} = A_{cl,\sigma}\bar{x} + B_{cl,\sigma}w(t)$$

$$z = C_{cl,\sigma}\bar{x} + D_{cl,\sigma}w(t)$$

$$\dot{\bar{x}}^{+} = A_{r,ij}\bar{x} + B_{r,ij}w(t_k), t = t_k \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{Cannot be analyzed by using the existing weighted L}_2\text{-gain where}$$

$$\begin{split} \bar{x} &= [x^{T}, x_{c}^{T}]^{T}, \\ A_{cl,\sigma} &= \begin{bmatrix} A_{\sigma} + B_{\sigma} D_{c,\sigma} C_{y,\sigma} & B_{\sigma} C_{c,\sigma} \\ B_{c,\sigma} C_{y,\sigma} & A_{c,\sigma} \end{bmatrix}, \\ C_{cl,\sigma} &= [C_{z,\sigma} + D_{z,\sigma} D_{c,\sigma} C_{z,\sigma} & D_{z} C_{c,\sigma}], \\ B_{cl,\sigma} &= \begin{bmatrix} E_{\sigma} + B_{\sigma} D_{c,\sigma} F_{y,\sigma} \\ B_{c,\sigma} F_{y,\sigma} \end{bmatrix}, D_{cl,\sigma} = F_{z,\sigma} + D_{z,\sigma} D_{c,\sigma} F_{y,\sigma}, \\ A_{r,ij} &= \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ \Delta_{2,ij} C_{y,i} & \Delta_{1,ij} \end{bmatrix}, B_{r,ij} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \Delta_{2,ij} F_{y,i} \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

• Weighted L₂-gain
$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda_0 t} z^T(t) z(t) dt \le \gamma^2 \int_0^\infty w^T(t) w(t) dt$$





Question to be answered

- 1. How to take account both continuous and discrete disturbance together into L_2 -gain performance?
- 2. What is the condition that guarantees stability and L₂-gain performance of the impulsive switched system (or the closed loop system)?
- 3. How to design the hybrid controller that has output information on reset law?





Weighted mixed L_2/l_2 -gain

Definition(weighted mixed L_2/l_2 -gain): The impulsive switched system has a weighted mixed L_2/l_2 -gain from input w to output z smaller than or equal to γ if the system is asymptotically stable and satisfies following inequality:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda_0 t} z^T(t) z(t) dt \le \gamma^2 \left\{ \int_0^\infty w^T(t) w(t) dt + \sum_{k=1}^\infty w^T(t_k) w(t_k) \right\}$$

 $\lambda_0 > 0$: decay rate t_k : switching instants





Stability and L₂-gain performance

■ *Theorem 1*: The impulsive system is globally uniformly asymptotically stable(GUAS) for every switching signal σ with average dwell time $\tau_a \ge \ln(\mu) / \lambda_0$ and achieves a weighed mixed L_2/l_2 -gain under zero initial condition if, for given scalars $\gamma > 0, \mu \ge 1, \lambda_0 > 0$ and ε , there exist matrices $P_i > 0, Q_i$ with appropriate dimensions satisfying the following matrix inequalities $\forall i, j \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}, i \neq j$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q_i^T A_{cl,i} + A_{cl,i}^T Q_i + \lambda_0 P_i & \star & \star & \star \\ P_i - Q_i + \varepsilon Q_i^T A_{cl,i} & -\varepsilon (Q_i + Q_i^T) & \star & \star \\ B_{cl,i}^T Q_i & \varepsilon B_{cl,i}^T Q_i & -\gamma^2 I & \star \\ C_{cl,i} & 0 & D_{cl,i} & -I \end{bmatrix} < 0,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_j - Q_j - Q_j^T & \star & \star \\ A_{r,ij}^T Q_j & -\mu P_i & \star \\ B_{r,ij}^T Q_j & 0 & -\gamma^2 I \end{bmatrix} \leq 0.$$





Question to be answered

- ✓ How to take account both continuous and discrete disturbance together into L₂-gain performance?
- ✓ What is the condition that guarantees stability and L₂-gain performance of the impulsive switched system (or the closed loop system)?
- 3. How to design the hybrid controller that has output information on reset law?





Design algorithm of Hybrid control

■ *Theorem 2:* The closed-loop system is GUAS for every switching signal σ with average dwell time $\tau_a \ge \ln(\mu) / \lambda_0$ and achieves a weighed mixed L_2/l_2 -gain under zero initial condition if, for given scalars $\gamma > 0, \mu \ge 1, \lambda_0 > 0$ and ε , there exist matrices $P_i > 0, X_i, Y_i, W_i, \hat{A}_{c,i}, \hat{B}_{c,i}, \hat{C}_{c,i}, \hat{D}_{c,i}, \hat{\Delta}_{1,ij}, \hat{\Delta}_{2,ij}$ with appropriate dimensions satisfying the following matrix inequalities $\forall i, j \in \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}, i \neq j$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{11,i} + \Phi_{11,i}^{T} + \lambda_{0} \hat{P}_{i} & \star & \star & \star \\ \hat{P}_{i} + \varepsilon \Phi_{11,i} - \Phi_{22,i}^{T} & -\varepsilon (\Phi_{22,i} + \Phi_{22,i}^{T}) & \star & \star \\ \Phi_{31,i} & \varepsilon \Phi_{31,i} & -\gamma^{2}I & \star \\ \Phi_{41,i} & 0 & F_{z,i} + D_{z,i} \hat{D}_{c,i} F_{y,i} & -I \end{bmatrix} < 0, \quad \begin{bmatrix} \hat{P}_{j} - \Phi_{22,j} - \Phi_{22,j}^{T} & \star & \star \\ \Theta_{21,ij}^{T} & -\mu \hat{P}_{i} & \star \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & F_{y,i}^{T} \widehat{\Delta}_{1,ij}^{T} \end{bmatrix} & 0 & \gamma^{2}I \end{bmatrix} \leq 0.$$

where

$$\Phi_{11,i} = \begin{bmatrix} A_i X_i + B_i \hat{C}_{c,i} & A_i + B_i \hat{D}_{c,i} C_{y,i} \\ \hat{A}_{c,i} & Y_i A_i + \hat{B}_{c,i} C_{y,i} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Phi_{22,i} = \begin{bmatrix} X_i & I \\ W_i & Y_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Phi_{31,i} = \begin{bmatrix} E_i^T + F_{y,i}^T \hat{D}_{c,i}^T B_i^T & E_i^T Y_i^T + F_{y,i}^T \hat{B}_{c,i} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Phi_{41,i} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{z,i} X_i + F_{z,i} \hat{C}_{c,i} & C_{z,i} + F_{z,i} \hat{D}_{c,i} C_{y,i} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Theta_{21,ij} = \begin{bmatrix} X_i & I \\ \widehat{\Delta}_{2,ij} & Y_j + \widehat{\Delta}_{1,ij} C_{y,i} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Designing algorithm of Hybrid controller

Step 1: Obtain matrix variables by solving LMIs. Step 2: Construct M_i and N_i from the relation that $M_i N_i^T = W_i - X_i Y_i$ Step 3: Obtain the hybrid controller gains as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{c,i} & B_{c,i} \\ C_{c,i} & D_{c,i} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} N_i & Y_i B_i \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{A}_{c,i} - Y_i A_i X_i & \hat{B}_{c,i} \\ \hat{C}_{c,i} & \hat{D}_{c,i} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} M_i^T & 0 \\ C_{y,i} & I \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$\Delta_{1,ij} = N_j^{-1} \widehat{\Delta}_{1,ij},$$

$$\Delta_{2,ij} = N_j^{-1} (\widehat{\Delta}_{2,ij} - Y_j X_i - \widehat{\Delta}_{1,ij} C_{y,i} X_i) M_i^{-T}.$$



 Optimization problem min γ² subject to the LMI conditions



Compared result

System parameters

Subsystem 1)

$$\begin{split} A_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.5108 & -0.9147 & -0.2 \\ -0.6563 & 0.1798 & 0.113 \\ 0.881 & -0.7841 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}, B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3257 \\ 1.2963 \\ 2.43 \end{bmatrix}, E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1056 \\ 0.1284 \\ 0.1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ C_{z,1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.01 & 0.06 & 0.03 \end{bmatrix}, C_{y,1} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 0.2 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}, \\ D_{z,1} &= F_{z,1} = 0, F_{y,1} = 0.1. \end{split}$$

Subsystem 2)

$$\begin{split} A_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} -0.125 & -0.9833 & -0.34 \\ -0.5305 & 0.3848 & 0.58 \\ 1.0306 & 0.6521 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}, B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0992 \\ 0.6532 \\ 3.5 \end{bmatrix}, E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.7425 \\ 0.1436 \\ 0.1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ C_{z,2} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.01 & 0.02 & 0.05 \end{bmatrix}, C_{y,2} = \begin{bmatrix} -6 & 6 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ D_{z,2} &= F_{z,2} = 0, F_{y,2} = 0.1. \end{split}$$

Given parameters $\lambda_0 = 0.12$ and $\varepsilon = 0.1$, we obtained γ_{\min} solving the optimization problem for various μ .



Table 1 γ_{\min} in example ((!) means infeasible) 1.0001 3.0 2.0 4.0 ADT bound 0.00083 5.7762 9.1551 11.5525 Yuan and (!) (!) 3.4044 0.4210 Wu Theorem 2 4.1289 0.7418 0.3252 0.2023 90.4% reduced 52% reduced

Almost arbitrary switching is almost achieved





Time-domain simulation

Solution Using Theorem 2 with parameters $\mu = 1.001$, $\varepsilon = 0.1$, $\gamma = 4.2$ and $\lambda_0 = 0.12$, hybrid controller is designed as



• Simulation conditions: $x(0) = [1,0,0]^T$, $w(t) = 0.1\sin(0.3t)$



Switching signal with average dwell time $\tau_a = \frac{10}{26} = 0.3836$



Trajectory of the controlled output







- 1. How to take account continuous and discrete disturbance together into L_2 -gain analysis?
 - > We introduced weighted mixed L_2/l_2 -gain
- 2. What is the condition that guarantees stability and L_2 -gain of the impulsive switched system (closed loop system)?
 - Theorem 1 is the answer for this
- 3. How to design the hybrid controller that has output information on reset law?
 - Theorem 2 is the answer for this





Thank you for listening **Q&A**



